ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

In the Matter of the Mortgage Banker License of:

No. 12F-BD014-SBD

GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC #1 and STEVEN M. ABREU, PRESIDENT and MEMBER

CONSENT ORDER

1100 Virginia Drive Fort Washington, PA 19034

Respondents.

On September 15, 2011, the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ("Department") issued Notice of Assessment alleging that Respondents had violated Arizona law. Wishing to resolve this matter in lieu of an administrative hearing, and without admitting liability, Respondents consent to the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and consent to the entry of the following Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent GMAC Mortgage, LLC #1 (hereafter "Respondent Company") is a Delaware limited liability company authorized to transact business in Arizona as a mortgage banker, license number BK0908590, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 6-941 et seq.
- 2. Respondent Steven M. Abreu ("Mr. Abreu") is the President and Member of Respondent Company and is authorized to transact business in Arizona as a mortgage banker within the meaning of A.R.S. § 6-941 et seq.
- 3. An examination of Respondent Company conducted by the Department, commencing on November 8, 2010 and concluding on June 3, 2011, revealed:
 - a. Respondents failed to produce adequate evidence that reasonable employee investigations had been conducted before certain employees were hired. Specifically:
 - Respondents failed to collect, review and retain records of its investigation conducted
 in the process of obtaining a completed Employment Eligibility Verification (Form I9) prior to hiring at least twenty two (22) employees;
 - ii. Respondents failed to obtain completed and/or signed employment applications prior

to hiring at least six (6) employees;

- iii. Respondents failed to obtain a signed statement attesting to an applicant's felony convictions prior to hiring at least thirty four (34) employees;
- iv. Respondents failed to consult the applicant's most recent or next most recent employer prior to hiring at least two (2) employees;
- v. Respondents failed to inquire regarding an applicant's qualifications and competence for the position prior to hiring at least forty seven (47) employees;
- vi. Respondents failed to conduct further investigation of at least sixteen (16) employees who had derogatory credit reports; and
- vii. Respondents failed to obtain a credit report from a credit reporting agency prior to hiring at least forty seven (47) employees.
- 4. Respondents failed to keep and maintain original documents or clearly legible copies of all mortgage banking loan transactions. Specifically, Respondents failed to provide a document from the loan file of at least one (1) borrower showing the application's final disposition, such as a settlement statement, or a denial or withdrawal letter.
- 5. Respondents failed to issue federal disclosure forms as required and did not maintain either originals or clearly legible copies of same within mortgage files for the appropriate time period. Specifically, Respondents' Mortgage Servicing Transfer Disclosure Statements, Good Faith Estimates and Truth-in-Lending Statements were prepared on dates from eleven (11) to twenty five (25) days after the initial application was taken by the loan officer in at least two (2) borrowers' loan files.
- 6. Respondents allowed borrowers to sign regulated documents containing blank spaces without the benefit of the borrowers' written authorization to complete the documents. Specifically, Respondents consistently required borrowers to sign a "Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Form 4506-T Request for Transcript of Tax Return," which was consistently left blank.

7. Respondents failed to ensure that all Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System ("NMLS") unique identifiers listed on their mortgage loan applications were the same as those that were issued to the loan originators who actually conducted the mortgage loan application interview process, which is a misrepresentation or concealment of a material fact, or in the case of an unlicensed loan originator, unlicensed activity. Specifically, several of the Respondents' mortgage loan applications listed the name of J.M. as the loan originator/interviewer on the file; however, the reference to J.M.'s name was accompanied by a NMLS unique identifier of another loan originator.

8. These Findings of Fact shall also serve as Conclusions of Law.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-941 *et seq.* the Superintendent has the authority and duty to regulate all persons engaged in the mortgage banker business and with the enforcement of statutes, rules and regulations relating to mortgage bankers and commercial mortgage bankers.
 - 2. By the conduct set forth above, Respondents have violated the following:
 - a. A.R.S. § 6-943(O) and A.A.C. 20-4-102 by failing to produce adequate evidence that reasonable employee investigations had been conducted before certain employees were hired.
 - b. A.R.S. § 6-946(A) by failing to keep and maintain original documents or clearly legible copies of all mortgage banking loan transactions.
 - c. A.R.S. § 6-946(E) by failing to issue federal disclosure forms as required and did not maintain either originals or clearly legible copies of same within mortgage files for the appropriate time period.
 - d. A.R.S. § 6-947(A) by failing to ensure that borrowers do not sign regulated documents that contain blank spaces without the benefit of the borrowers' written authorization to complete the documents.
 - e. A.R.S. § 6-947(L) by failing to ensure that all NMLS unique identifiers listed on the mortgage loan applications were the same as those that were issued to the loan

originators who actually conducted the mortgage loan application interview process.

3. The violations set forth above constitute grounds for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Respondents to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a monetary civil penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; and (3) an order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating mortgage bankers and commercial mortgage bankers pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-941 et seq.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. Respondents shall immediately stop the violations set forth in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
- 2. Respondent Company shall amend its employment documentation process for employees conducting Respondent Company's business in Arizona to require that (i) copies of supporting I-9 documents be made at the time the I-9 is completed and signed; and (ii) the photocopies be retained for not less than two years following the employee's termination. Respondent Company shall implement this change for new hires no later than March 1, 2012.
- 3. Respondents shall pay to the Department an assessment in the amount of seven thousand dollars and five hundred dollars (\$7,500.00).
- Respondent Company and Mr. Abreu are jointly and severally liable for payment of the civil money penalty.
- 5. Should Respondent Company and Mr. Abreu fail to comply with this Order, the Superintendent shall institute further disciplinary proceedings.
- 6. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon Respondents, its employees, agents and other persons participating in the conduct of the affairs of Respondent.
 - 7. This Order shall become effective upon service, and shall remain effective and

enforceable until such time as, and except to the extent that, it shall be stayed, modified, terminated or set aside.

SO ORDERED this 27 day of December, 2011.

Lauren Kingry

Superintendent of Financial Institutions

By:

Robert D. Charlton

Assistant Superintendent of Financial Institutions

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondents GMAC Mortgage, LLC ("Respondent Company") and Steven M. Abreu ("Mr. Abreu") acknowledge that they have been served with a copy of the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the above-referenced matter, have read the same, are aware of their right to an administrative hearing in this matter, and have waived the same.
- 2. Without admitting liability, Respondents admit that the Superintendent has jurisdiction over this matter and authority to enter into this Consent Order. Respondents have communicated to the Department their disagreement with a number of the alleged violations and are entering into this Consent Order to avoid the expense of adversarial proceedings.
- 3. Respondents state that no promise of any kind or nature has been made to induce them to enter into this Consent Order.
- 4. Respondents agree and acknowledge that the acceptance of this Consent Order by the Superintendent is solely to settle this matter and does not preclude this Department, any other agency or officer of this state or subdivision thereof from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- 5. To the extent Respondent Company accepts and acknowledges that certain of the conduct set forth in the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order constitutes a violation of applicable law or regulations, Respondent Company agrees to cease immediately from

1	engaging in the violative conduct. Failure to correct the violations set forth above in this Order or	
2	any future findings of repeat violations shall result in disciplinary action which may include a greater	
3	civil money penalty.	
4	6. Steven M. Abreu, on behalf of Respondent Company, represents that he is the	
5	President and Member of Respondent Company, and that, as such, has been authorized to consent to	
6	the entry of this Order and to execute this Consent Order on Respondent Company's behalf.	
7	7. Respondents waive all rights to seek administrative or judicial review or otherwise to	
8	challenge or contest the validity of this Consent Order.	
9	DATED this 4 day of 0 cc., 2011.	
10		
11	By: m M Chromater of GMAC Steven M. Abreu, President and Member of GMAC	
12	Mortgage, LLC #1	
13		
14	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 2714	
15	day of, 2011, in the office of:	
16	Lauren W. Kingry, Superintendent of Financial Institutions Arizona Department of Financial Institutions	
17	ATTN: June Beckwith 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310	
18	Phoenix, AZ 85018	
19	COPY mailed/delivered same date to:	
20	Natalia A. Garrett, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General	
21	1275 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007	
22	Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent	
23	Gabriela Macias, Examiner-in-Charge ATTN: Sabrina Hampton	
24	Arizona Department of Financial Institutions 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310	
25	Phoenix, AZ 85018	
26		

1	AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by
2	Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to:
3	Steven M. Abreu, President and Member GMAC Mortgage, LLC #1 1100 Virginia Drive
4	Fort Washington, PA 19034 Respondents
5	*
6	William H. Finlay, Esq. Ally Financial Inc. Legal Staff/190-FTW-L95
7	1100 Virginia Drive Fort Washington, PA 19034
8	Attorneys for Respondents
9	By: OLLWO, ROCKIDID
10	# 2447102
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	